THE NLS EQUATION IN DIMENSION ONE WITH SPATIALLY CONCENTRATED NONLINEARITIES: THE POINTLIKE LIMIT

CLAUDIO CACCIAPUOTI, DOMENICO FINCO, DIEGO NOJA, AND ALESSANDRO TETA

Abstract. In the present paper we study the following scaled nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in one space dimension:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi^\varepsilon(t) = -\Delta\psi^\varepsilon(t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)|\psi^\varepsilon(t)|^{2\mu}\psi^\varepsilon(t) \qquad \varepsilon > 0\ , \quad V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\ .$$

This equation represents a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a spatially concentrated nonlinearity. We show that in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$, the weak (integral) dynamics converges in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ to the weak dynamics of the NLS with point-concentrated nonlinearity:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = H_\alpha\psi(t).$$

where H_α is the laplacian with the nonlinear boundary condition at the origin $\psi'(t,0+) - \psi'(t,0-) = \alpha|\psi(t,0)|^{2\mu}\psi(t,0)$ and $\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} V dx$. The convergence occurs for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ if $V \geqslant 0$ and for every $\mu \in (0,1)$ otherwise. The same result holds true for a nonlinearity with an arbitrary number N of concentration points.

arXiv:1403.1401v1 [math-ph] 6 Mar 2014

1. Introduction and result

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with spatially dependent nonlinearities has been considered in many contexts, both for its mathematical interest and for its relevance in several physical models. They represent situations in which there is a spatial inhomogeneity of the response of the medium to the wavefunction propagation. Here we are interested in the special case in which the nonlinearity is strongly concentrated in space around a finite number of points. More precisely, we investigate the limit where the nonlinearity becomes *strictly pointlike*. Such nonlinearities appear in several physical applications, for example: nonlinear diffraction of electrons from a thin layer (see [6]), analysis of nonlinear resonant tunneling (see [11]), models of pattern formation and bifurcation of solitons in nonlinear media (see [9, 12, 15, 16, 19] and references therein). Rigorous analysis of asymptotic stability of standing waves for some of the above models can be found in [7, 14]. To make precise the considered problem, we will consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger type equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi^\varepsilon(t) = -\Delta\psi^\varepsilon(t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)|\psi^\varepsilon(t)|^{2\mu}\psi^\varepsilon(t)\ , \qquad \varepsilon > 0\ , \quad V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\ . \tag{1.1}$$

When $\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}V(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\right) = \pm 1$ the nonlinearity is called focusing or attractive $(-)$ and respectively defocusing or repulsive $(+)$. In general both behaviours are admitted.

It is well known that for a large class of inhomogeneities V the previous equation enjoys global well posedness in energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for every initial datum $\psi^\varepsilon(0) = \psi_0$. Here by H^1-solutions we mean the solutions of the integral equation

(1.2)

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,x) = U(t)\psi^\varepsilon(0,x) - i\int_0^t ds \int dy\, U(t-s, x-y)\,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}V\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y)|^{2\mu}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y) \qquad \psi^\varepsilon(0,\cdot) := \psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q15, 35B25, 35A35, 35Q55.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, nonlinear delta interactions, zero-range limit of concentrated nonlinearities.

D.F. and D.N. acknowledge the support of FIRB 2012 project "Dispersive dynamics: Fourier Analysis and Variational Methods". C.C. acknowledges the support of the FIR 2013 project "Condensed Matter in Mathematical Physics" (code RBFR13NAET).

where $U(t)$ is the free Schrödinger unitary group, whose convolution kernel is

$$U(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\, i\, t}} e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}} \ . \tag{1.3}$$

For example, in the above hypotheses ($V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$), Corollary 6.1.2 of [8] applies and one has global existence of strong H^1-solutions for every initial datum ψ_0 in H^1 if $V \geqslant 0$ and $\mu > 0$ (defocusing case), and for $\mu < 2$ if V is negative in some open interval, in particular when it is everywhere nonpositive (focusing case). For $\mu = 2$, the critical case, one has global existence for small data (see Remark 6.1.3 of [8]).
We are interested in identifying the limit dynamics of the previous equation when $\varepsilon \to 0$. In view of the fact that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) = \alpha\delta_0$, where $\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} V dx$, the natural candidate can be formally written as

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = -\Delta\psi(t) + \alpha\delta_0 |\psi(t)|^{2\mu}\psi(t) \ , \tag{1.4}$$

where a meaning has to be given to the nonlinear term at the right hand side. To this end, we recall that a theory of NLS with a pointlike nonlinearity has been developed in several papers. For the present one dimensional case, the definition of the model and the global well posedness has been given in [4]. In [13] a second proof of global well posedness is given, making use of a nonlocal approximation of pointlike nonlinearities which is related to the topic treated in the present paper and that will be commented upon later. The definition of a pointlike nonlinearity is mimicked on the case of a *linear* delta interaction, often called delta potential ([5] and references therein). In the one dimensional case a delta interaction of strength α at $x = 0$ is the singularly perturbed laplacian $(D(-\Delta_\alpha), -\Delta_\alpha)$ given as follows

$$D(-\Delta_\alpha) \ := \ \big\{\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}),\ \phi'(0+) - \phi'(0-) = \alpha\phi(0)\big\} \quad \text{and} \quad -\Delta_\alpha\phi \ = -\phi'' \ , \ \ x \neq 0 \tag{1.5}$$

The corresponding quadratic form $(D(Q_\alpha), Q_\alpha)$ is given by

$$D(Q_\alpha) = H^1(\mathbb{R}) \ \text{ and } \ Q_\alpha(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi'(x)|^2 \ dx + \alpha|\phi(0)|^2 \ . \tag{1.6}$$

It is immediate to extend this definition to the case of N singularity points y_k, $k = 1, \dots, N$.
The idea is to modify the boundary condition and to consider the strength α depending on the wavefunction itself.
Following the cited literature, we take as a definition of a nonlinear interaction (of power type) concentrated at the point $x = 0$ the nonlinear operator $(D(H_{\alpha,\mu}), H_{\alpha,\mu})$ given by

$$D(H_{\alpha,\mu}) \ := \ \big\{\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}),\ \phi'(0+) - \phi'(0-) = \alpha(\phi)\phi(0)\big\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\alpha,\mu}\phi \ = -\phi'' \ , \ \ x \neq 0 \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$\alpha(\phi) = \alpha|\phi(0)|^{2\mu} \ , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \ . \tag{1.8}$$

Obviously the boundary condition reduces to the linear one when $\mu = 0$.
In [4] it is shown that the time dependent nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonlinear generator given by $H_{\alpha,\mu}$,

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = H_{\alpha,\mu}\psi(t) \ , \tag{1.9}$$

is globally well posed for every initial datum $\psi(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $\mu > 0$ if $\alpha > 0$ (defocusing concentrated nonlinearity) and for $\mu \in (0,1)$ if $\alpha < 0$ (focusing concentrated nonlinearity). By this we mean (see [4] for details) that there exists a unique global H^1-solution of the integral equation

$$\psi(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0 - i\alpha \int_0^t ds\ U(t-s,x)\, q(s) \qquad q(s) = |\psi(s,0)|^{2\mu}\psi(s,0) \qquad \psi(0,\cdot) = \psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.10}$$

Notice, in the nonrepulsive case, the loss in the range of admissible exponents μ in passing from regular to pointlike spatial dependence.

More generally one can consider a nonlinearity with a finite number N of *distinct* concentration points at, say, $y_1, \dots, y_N$ and corresponding spatial modulations V_k. We can admit arbitrary signs for the means $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_k\, dx$ and different powers for the nonlinearities.
The regularized equation in integral form is then
(1.11)

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0 - i\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_0^t ds \int dy\, U(t-s,x-y)\,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}V_k\left(\frac{y-y_k}{\varepsilon}\right)|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y) \qquad \psi^\varepsilon(0,\cdot) := \psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$$

while the limit equation is
(1.12)

$$\psi(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0 - i\sum_{k=1}^{N}\alpha_k\int_0^t ds\, U(t-s,x)\, q_k(s) \qquad q_k(s) = |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k) \qquad \psi(0,\cdot) := \psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$$

For this equation (see [4]) global well posedness is known to hold under the hypotheses $\mu_k \in (0,1)$ if at least one α_k is negative, and for $\mu_k > 0$ otherwise. The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 1. *For every $k = 1, \dots, N$, take $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let $V_k \geqslant 0$ or $\mu_k \in (0,1)$ and let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ be the H^1-solutions of (1.11) and (1.12) with initial data $\psi^\varepsilon(0) = \psi(0) \equiv \psi_0$ and $\alpha_k = \int V_k\, dx$. Then for any $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ one has*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \psi(t)\|_{H^1} \to 0 \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to 0\ . \tag{1.13}$$

Section 2 contains the proof of Theorem 1. Several remarks to our main result are postponed at the end of the section.
In what follows c denotes a generic positive constant which does not depend on ε and t (but may depend on T, ψ_0 and V_k) and whose value may change form line to line.
Moreover we will denote by $||\cdot||$ the L^2-norm and with $||\cdot||_p$ the L^p-norm.

2. Pointlike limit: convergence of spatially regular dynamics and proof of Theorem 1

As explained in the introduction, we compare two evolution problems. The first one, which we will call the rescaled problem is given by (1.11) which is here rewritten as

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0(x) - \frac{i}{\varepsilon}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\int_0^t ds\int dy\, U(t-s,x-y)\, V_k^\varepsilon(y-y_k)\,|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y) \qquad \psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \tag{2.1}$$

where (notice the definition of V_k^ε)

$$V_k^\varepsilon(x) = V_k\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\ , \qquad V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \qquad k = 1, \dots, N\ . \tag{2.2}$$

It is a standard matter to show that the L^2-norm ("mass") of the solution is conserved along the flow, and that it admits a conserved energy

$$E^\varepsilon(\psi^\varepsilon(t)) = \int dx\, |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\mu_k+1}\int dx\,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\,V_k^\varepsilon(x-y_k)|\psi^\varepsilon(t,x)|^{2\mu_k+2} \tag{2.3}$$

We want to compare problem (2.1) with the second evolution problem, the limit problem given by (1.12) with $\alpha_k = \int V_k(x)\, dx$.
In [4] it is shown that the limit problem conserves mass and energy, where now the energy functional is defined by

$$E(\psi(t)) = \int dx\, |\psi'(t,x)|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\alpha_k\,\frac{1}{\mu_k+1}|\psi(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2} \tag{2.4}$$

As recalled in the introduction, the solution $\psi(t)$ is global if $\alpha_k > 0$ and $\mu_k > 0$ or $\alpha_k < 0$ and $0 < \mu_k < 1$.
A main preliminary result used in the sequel is the following

Proposition 2.1. *Take $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $V_k \geqslant 0$ or $0 < \mu_k < 1$ in the rescaled problem. Then the solution $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ of (1.11) satisfies the following a priori estimate uniformly in ε and in t:*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leqslant c \ . \tag{2.5}$$

Proof
Due to mass conservation it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int dx \ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 \leqslant c$$

We rewrite the energy in the following way

$$E^\varepsilon(\psi^\varepsilon(t)) = \int dx \ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} \int dx \ V_k(x)|\psi^\varepsilon(t,\varepsilon x + y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2}$$

For any $k = 1, ..., N$ we rewrite $V_k = V_{k+} - V_{k-}$, where $V_{k+} \geqslant 0$ and $V_{k-} \geqslant 0$ are respectively the positive and negative part of V_k. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ be the set of indices such that V_k is not positive. By energy conservation, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and neglecting the terms such that $k \in \mathcal{K}^c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E^\varepsilon(\psi_0) &= E^\varepsilon(\psi^\varepsilon(t)) \\
&\geqslant \int dx \ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 - \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} \int dx \ V_{k-}(x)|\psi^\varepsilon(t,\varepsilon x + y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2} \\
&\geqslant \int dx \ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 - \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} \|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty^{2\mu_k+2} \int dx \ V_{k-}(x) \\
&\geqslant \|\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t)\|^2 - c \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \|\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t)\|^{1+\mu_k}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in[0,1]} E^\varepsilon(\psi_0) \leqslant \|\psi_0'\|^2 + \|\psi_0\|_\infty^{2\mu+2} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} \int dx \ V_{k+}(x) \equiv K$$

we finally get the inequality

$$\|\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t)\|^2 - c \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \|\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t)\|^{1+\mu_k} \leqslant K$$

which implies $\|\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t)\| \leqslant c$ since, for $k \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mu_k < 1$ holds true.

□

Notice that the previous proposition implies an a priori bound for the L^∞-norm of $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ uniformly in ε and t, that is

$$|\psi^\varepsilon(t,x)| \leqslant c \ . \tag{2.6}$$

We recall that also $\psi(t)$ enjoys a similar bound.
Now we consider the convergence at the nonlinear defects locations $y_k, \ k = 1, \cdots, N$.

Lemma 2.1. *Take $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $V_k \geqslant 0$ or $0 < \mu_k < 1$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then for any $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_j) - \psi(t,y_j)| \leqslant c \ \varepsilon^\delta \qquad j = 1, \dots, N \tag{2.7}$$

Proof
Fix j and let us rewrite (2.1) as

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0(x) - i \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \ \int dy \ U(t-s, \ x - y_k - \varepsilon y) \, V_k(y) \, |\psi^\varepsilon(s, \varepsilon y + y_k)|^{2\mu_k} \psi^\varepsilon(s, \varepsilon y + y_k)$$

which gives for $x = y_j$

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_j) = U(t)\psi_0(y_j) - i\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \int dy\, U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k - \varepsilon y)\, V_k(y)\, |\psi^\varepsilon(s,\varepsilon y + y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,\varepsilon y + y_k)$$

to be compared with

$$\psi(t,y_j) = U(t)\psi_0(y_j) - i\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k \int_0^t ds\, U(t-s, y_j - y_k)\, |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)$$

Adding and subtracting suitable terms, we have the following identity

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_j) - \psi(t,y_j) = -i\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k \int_0^t ds\, U(t-s, y_j - y_k)\, \left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)\right) + \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon(t)$$

with a remainder given by

$$\mathcal{R}^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{R}_1^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{R}_2^\varepsilon(t)\ ,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_1^\varepsilon(t) = -i\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \int dy\, \left[U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k - \varepsilon y) - U(t-s\,,\, y_j - y_k)\right] V_k(y)\, |\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\varepsilon(t) = -i\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\, U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k) \int dy\, V_k(y)\, \left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y) - |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)\right)$$

We provide an estimate of the remainder terms using the previously shown a priori bounds and the following elementary estimate

$$\left|e^{iz} - 1\right| \leqslant c|z|^\delta \qquad \delta < 1/2, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}\ . \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.6) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{R}_1^\varepsilon(t)| &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \int dy\ |U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k - \varepsilon y) - U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k)|\ |V_k(y)|\, |\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k + 1} \\
&\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \int dy\ |U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k - \varepsilon y) - U(t-s,\, y_j - y_k)|\ |V_k(y)| \\
&\leqslant c\,\varepsilon^\delta \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\, \frac{1}{(t-s)^{1/2+\delta}} \int dy\, |2y(y_j - y_k) - \varepsilon y^2|^\delta\, |V_k(y)| = c\ \varepsilon^\delta
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning $\mathcal{R}_2^\varepsilon(t)$, we have

$$|\mathcal{R}_2^\varepsilon(t)| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-s)}} \int dy\, |V_k(y)|\ \left||\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s, y_k + \varepsilon y) - |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)\right|$$

Notice that, as a consequence of (2.5)

$$\||\psi^\varepsilon(s)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1} \leqslant c\ \|\psi^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^{2\mu_k+1} \leqslant c\ .$$

Being every $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ in the Hölder space $C^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$, the following estimate hold true

$$|f(y_k + \varepsilon y) - f(y_k)| \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon|y|}\, \|f\|_{H^1}\ . \tag{2.9}$$

Applying this estimate to $|\psi^\varepsilon(s)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s)$ and using again Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_2^\varepsilon(t)| \leqslant c\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \int dy\ \sqrt{|y|}\, |V_k(y)|\, \||\psi^\varepsilon(s)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1} \leqslant c\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{2.10}$$

which finally implies

$$|\mathcal{R}^\varepsilon(t)| \leqslant c\ \varepsilon^\delta$$

Using the above remainder estimate we can prove (2.7). As a first step we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_j) - \psi(t,y_j)| \\
&\quad\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_k| \int_0^t ds\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}\ \left||\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)\right| + c\ \varepsilon^\delta \\
&\quad\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}\left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k} + |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\right)|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - \psi(s,y_k)| + c\ \varepsilon^\delta \\
&\quad\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - \psi(s,y_k)| + c\ \varepsilon^\delta\ . \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$X^\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N |\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_j) - \psi(t,y_j)|$$

then from (2.11) we have

$$X^\varepsilon(t) \leqslant c_1 \int_0^t ds\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} X^\varepsilon(s) + c_2\varepsilon^\delta \qquad (2.12)$$

where c_1 and c_2 depend on N and V_k. Using a standard argument in the theory of Abel integral operators (see [10], ch. 7), one concludes that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X^\varepsilon(t) \leqslant c\,\varepsilon^\delta.$$

□

We prove the L^2-convergence of the rescaled flow to the limit flow.

Theorem 2. *Take $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R},(1+|x|)dx)\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $V_k \geqslant 0$ or $0<\mu_k<1$, and let $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$. Then for any $T\in\mathbb{R}^+$ we have*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \psi(t)\| \leqslant c\ \varepsilon^\delta \qquad (2.13)$$

Proof

We use a decomposition similar to the one exploited in the previous lemma. We rewrite the two problems in integral form

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0(x) - i\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \int dy\ U(t-s,\ x-y_k-\varepsilon y)\,V_k(y)\,|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)$$

$$\psi(t,x) = U(t)\psi_0(x) - i\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k \int_0^t ds\ U(t-s,x-y_k)\,|\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)$$

Adding and subtracting suitable terms, we have

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t,x) - \psi(t,x) = -i\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k \int_0^t ds\ U(t-s,x-y_k)\ \left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)\right) + \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t,x)$$

with remainder given by

$$\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t,x) = \mathcal{T}_1^\varepsilon(t,x) + \mathcal{T}_2^\varepsilon(t,x)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1^\varepsilon(t,x) = -i\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds \int dy\ \left[U(t-s,\, x-y_k-\varepsilon y) - U(t-s,\, x-y_k)\right]\, V_k(y)\, |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2^\varepsilon(t,x) = -i\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^t ds\, U(t-s,\, x-y_k) \int dy\, V_k(y)\, \left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y) - |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)\right)$$

For the first remainder $\mathcal{T}_1^\varepsilon(t)$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \int dx \left| \int_0^t ds \int dy \big[U(t-s,\, x-y_k-\varepsilon y) - U(t-s,\, x-y_k)\big] V_k(y)\, |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)\right|^2$$

Such an integral can be estimated using group property of $U(t)$ and (2.8) in the following way. For every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int dx \left| \int_0^t ds\ \int dy\ \left[U(t-s,\, x-y_k-\varepsilon y) - U(t-s,\, x-y_k)\right]\, f(y)\right|^2 \\
&= \int_0^t ds\, ds'\ \int dy\, dy'\ \overline{f(y)} f(y') \big(U(s-s', \varepsilon y - \varepsilon y') - U(s-s', \varepsilon y) - U(s-s', \varepsilon y') + U(s-s', 0)\big) \\
&\leqslant \int_0^t ds\, ds'\ \int dy\, dy'\ |f(y)||f(y')| \big(|U(s-s', \varepsilon y - \varepsilon y') - U(s-s', \varepsilon y)| + |U(s-s', 0) - U(s-s', \varepsilon y')|\big) \\
&\leqslant c\ \varepsilon^{2\delta} \int_0^t ds\, ds' \frac{1}{|s-s'|^{1/2+\delta}}\ \int dy\, dy'\ |f(y)||f(y')| \left(|y|^{2\delta} + |y'|^{2\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) and the estimate above, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1^\varepsilon(t)\| \leqslant c\ \varepsilon^\delta \|\psi^\varepsilon\|_\infty^{2\mu+1} \sum_{k=1}^N \int dy |V_k(y)|\, (1+|y|^{2\delta}) \leqslant c\varepsilon^\delta$$

Concerning the estimate of $\mathcal{T}_2^\varepsilon(t)$, we use the following estimate

$$\left\| \int_0^t U(t-s,\cdot) f(s)\, ds \right\| \leqslant c \sup_{s\in[0,t]} |f(s)| \tag{2.14}$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}_2^\varepsilon(t)\| &\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \int dy\, |V_k(y)|\ \left||\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k+\varepsilon y) - |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)\right| \\
&\leqslant c\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \int dy\ \sqrt{|y|}\, |V_k(y)| \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \||\psi^\varepsilon(s)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2 \leqslant c\sqrt{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\| \leqslant c\ \varepsilon^\delta$$

Finally we arrive at the main estimate. Using (2.14) and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \psi(t)\| &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_k| \left\| \int_0^t ds\, U(t-s, \cdot - y_k)\ \left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)\right)\right\| + c\,\varepsilon^\delta \\
&\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \left||\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\psi(s,y_k)\right|\ + c\,\varepsilon^\delta \\
&\leqslant c\sum_{k=1}^N \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \left(|\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k} + |\psi(s,y_k)|^{2\mu_k}\right) |\psi^\varepsilon(s,y_k) - \psi(s,y_k)|\ + c\,\varepsilon^\delta \\
&\leqslant c\,\varepsilon^\delta
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1
By (2.5) there is a subsequence, still denoted in the same way, such that $\psi^\varepsilon(t) \rightharpoonup \phi(t)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Notice that $\psi^\varepsilon(t) \to \psi(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and then a.e. $\phi(t) = \psi(t)$. Moreover, since

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \psi(t)\|^2_{H^1} = \|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{H^1} + \|\psi(t)\|^2_{H^1} - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\,(\psi^\varepsilon(t), \psi(t))_{H^1}$$

it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{H^1} = \|\psi(t)\|^2_{H^1}\ .$$

In fact, due to the previous theorem it is sufficient to prove

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int dx\ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(x)|^2 = \int dx\ |\psi'(x)|^2\ .$$

Using the conservation of the energy, we write

$$\int dx\ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 = E^\varepsilon(\psi_0) - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} \int dx\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\, V_k^\varepsilon(x-y_k)|\psi^\varepsilon(t,x)|^{2\mu_k+2}$$

$$\int dx\ |\psi'(t,x)|^2 = E(\psi_0) - \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k\, \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} |\psi(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2}\ .$$

Since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} E^\varepsilon(\psi_0) = E(\psi_0)$$

and, by lemma 2.1,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} |\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2} = |\psi(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int dx\ |\psi^{\varepsilon\prime}(t,x)|^2 \\
&= \int dx\ |\psi'(t,x)|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu_k+1} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(\int dx\ \ V_k(x)|\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k+\varepsilon x)|^{2\mu_k+2} - \alpha_k\,|\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int dx\ \ V_k(x)|\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k+\varepsilon x)|^{2\mu_k+2} - \alpha_k\,|\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2} \right| \\
\leqslant &\int dx\ \ |V_k(x)|\ \left| |\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k+\varepsilon x)|^{2\mu_k+2} - |\psi^\varepsilon(t,y_k)|^{2\mu_k+2} \right| \\
\leqslant &c\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int dx\ \ \sqrt{|x|}\,|V_k(x)|\ \left\| |\psi^\varepsilon(t)|^{2\mu_k+2} \right\|_{H^1} \leqslant c\sqrt{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

and this ends the proof.

□

We conclude with some remarks and discussion of possible further developments.

Remark 2.1. *There is a loss in the admitted range of nonlinearity powers guaranteeing global existence going from the regularized problem to the limit problem in presence of attractive interactions: $\mu_k < 2$ in the regularized problem and $\mu_k < 1$ in the limit problem. This fact does not appear in the limit process at any stage, if not in the need of the uniform H^1 bound on $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ given by Proposition 2.1. It could be interesting a more detailed comprehension of this phenomenon.*

Remark 2.2. *As regards Proposition 2.1, let us consider for simplicity the case of a single inhomogeneity V^ε, with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V^\varepsilon dx > 0$ but having a nontrivial negative part V^ε_-. It would be worth to understand whether the limitation $0 < \mu < 1$ is optimal.*

Remark 2.3. *As noticed in the introduction, in* [13] *a proof of global well posedness of the limit problem is given, seemingly unaware of the already existing one. The paper is however interesting because in the course of the proof an approximating problem is constructed, corresponding to a way of recovering a delta potential from a regular interaction different from the one exploited here. Namely, the approximating problem is the one corresponding to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a nonlocal interaction ("mean field"):*

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi^\varepsilon(t) = -\Delta\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \rho_\varepsilon F(\langle\rho_\varepsilon, \psi^\varepsilon(t)\rangle)$$

where $\rho_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \delta_0$ in distributional sense and F is the nonlinearity. The authors treat also nonlinearities different from powers, but in the focusing case they have to restrict to sublinear nonlinearities. It is a known fact from the theory of point interactions that if F is the identity map the r.h.s. as a linear operator is a rank one perturbation of the laplacian converging in norm resolvent sense to the operator Δ_α for a certain α (see [5]*). Well posedness for the wave equation in one dimension and concentrated nonlinearities is studied along similar lines in* [17].

Remark 2.4. *Concentrated nonlinearities of power type in three dimensions are defined and studied in the NLS context in* [1, 2]. *These models admit solutions of the kind of solitary (more precisely standing) waves. In* [3] *orbital and asymptotic stability of standing waves for NLS with concentrated nonlinearity is addressed. A definition of general concentrated nonlinearities with application to well posedness of the $1+3$ dimensional wave equation is given in* [18]. *Again in the wave case, it is easy to see that standing waves exist for the Klein-Gordon equation with concentrated nonlinearity. In view of this rich mathematical structure of dispersive PDE with concentrated nonlinearities in dimension three, the extension of the result proved in the present paper to such a case would be of interest. We stress that, following the analogy with the linear case (see* [5]*), the limit procedure in dimension three is more subtle and requires a different scaling from the one used in dimension one. We also mention that essentially nothing is known, apart from the definition, concerning concentrated nonlinearities in two dimensions.*

Remark 2.5. *As regards the hypotheses on V_k, we notice the following. $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is of course a natural request, the only one needed in the linear case; $V_k \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is needed for having well posedness of the regularized problem (see the already quoted Corollary 6.2.1 in* [8]*); the condition $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)dx)$ is used in Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2, but, as it turns out from the proofs, it could be relaxed to $V_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, 1+|x|^\gamma)$ for a $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Here we opted for the simplest statement.*

References

[1] Adami R., Dell'Antonio G., Figari R., and Teta A., The Cauchy problem for the Schrödinger equation in dimension three with concentrated nonlinearity. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré*, 20:477–500, (2003)

[2] Adami R., Dell'Antonio G., Figari R., and Teta A., Blow-up solutions for the Schrödinger equation in dimension three with a concentrated nonlinearity. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré*, 21:121–137, (2004)

[3] Adami R., Noja D., Ortoleva C., Orbital and asymptotic stability for standing waves of a NLS equation with concentrated nonlinearity in dimension three. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 013501 (2013)

[4] Adami R., Teta A., A class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with concentrated nonlinearity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **180**, no. 1, 148-175 (2001)

[5] Albeverio S., Gesztesy F., Högh-Krohn R., and Holden H., *Solvable models in quantum mechanics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2005

[6] Bulashenko O.M., Kochelap V.A., Bonilla L.L., Coherent patterns and self-induced diffraction of electrons on a thin nonlinear layer, *Phys. Rev. B*, **54** 1537-1540 (1996)

[7] Buslaev V.S., Komech A.I., Kopylova A.E., and Stuart D., On asymptotic stability of solitary waves in Schrödinger equation coupled to nonlinear oscillator *Comm. Part. Differ. Equat.*, 33:669–705, (2008)

[8] Cazenave T., *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, AMS, vol **10**, Providence, 2003

[9] Dorr N., Malomed B.A. Soliton supported by localized nonlinearities in periodic media. *Phys. Rev. A*, 83:033828–1, 033828–21, (2011)

[10] Gorenflo R., Vessella S., *Abel Integral Equations*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics n. 1461, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1991

[11] Jona Lasinio G., Presilla C., Sjöstrand J., On Schrödinger equations with concentrated nonlinearities, *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) **240**, 1-21 (1995)
[12] Kevrekidis P.G., Yu.S. Kivshar, Kovalev A.S., Instabilities and bifurcations of nonlinear impurity modes *Phys. Rev.* E **67**, 046604-8 (2003)
[13] Komech A.I., Komech A.A., Global well posedness for the Schrödinger equation coupled to a nonlinear oscillator, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **14** 2, 164-173, (2007)
[14] Komech A.I., Kopylova E.A., and Stuart D., On asymptotic stability of solitary waves for Schrödinger equation coupled to nonlinear oscillator, II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 202:1063–1079, (2012)
[15] Li K., Kevrekidis P.G., Malomed B.A., Frantzeskakis D.J., Transfer and scattering of wave packets by a nonlinear trap, *Phys. Rev.* E **84**, 056609 (2011)
[16] Malomed B., Azbel M., Modulational instability of a wave scattered by a nonlinear center, *Phys. Rev.* B, **47** 10402-10406 (1993)
[17] Martel Y., A wave equation with a Dirac distribution, Portugaliae Mathematica, **52**, 343-355 (1995)
[18] Noja D., and Posilicano A., Wave equations with concentrated nonlinearities, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 38:5011–5022, (2005)
[19] Sukhorukov A., Kivshar Y., Bang O., Rasmussen J.J., Christiansen P. L., Nonlinearity and disorder: Classification and stability of impurity modes, *Phys. Rev.* E, **63** 036601 (2001)

Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Endenicher Allee, 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: cacciapuoti@him.uni-bonn.de

Facoltà di Ingegneria, Università Telematica Internazionale Uninettuno, Corso Vittorio Emanuele II, 39, 00186 Roma, Italy
E-mail address: d.finco@uninettunouniversity.net

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano Bicocca, via Roberto Cozzi, 53, 20125 Milano, Italy
E-mail address: diego.noja@unimib.it

Dipartimento di Matematica G. Castelnuovo, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro, 5, 00185 Roma, Italy
E-mail address: teta@mat.uniroma1.it